

Motion of a Particle in a Two- or Three-Dimensional System

PART-B

Ling-Hsiao Lyu

Department of Space Science and Engineering

National Central University

Symon (1960): Chapter 3: Motion of a Particle in Two or Three Dimensions

“二元”或“三元”「聯立的」微分方程式 (System ODEs)

Part 3:

3-7 Momentum and energy theorems

3-8 Plane and vector angular momentum theorems

3-9 Discussion of the general problem of two- and three-dimensional motion

3-10 The harmonic oscillator in two and three dimensions

3-11 Projectiles

3-12 Potential energy

Momentum & Energy Theorems

- **The (differential) momentum theorem:**

$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{F}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \dot{p}_x(t) = m\dot{v}_x(t) = F_x [x(t), y(t), z(t), v_x(t), v_y(t), v_z(t), t] \\ \dot{p}_y(t) = m\dot{v}_y(t) = F_y [x(t), y(t), z(t), v_x(t), v_y(t), v_z(t), t] \\ \dot{p}_z(t) = m\dot{v}_z(t) = F_z [x(t), y(t), z(t), v_x(t), v_y(t), v_z(t), t] \end{cases}$$

- **The (differential) Energy theorem:**

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = m\vec{v} \cdot \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{F} = v_x F_x + v_y F_y + v_z F_z$$

where $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{F}$ is the power

Plane and vector angular momentum theorems

Let \vec{p} be the linear momentum of a particle and \vec{F} be the force acting on the particle

$$\vec{p} = m\vec{v}$$

$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{F}$$

Let us consider the particle is gyrating around an axis. The distance between the particle and the axis is r . then the angular momentum of the particle with respect to the axis is

$$\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p} = \vec{r} \times m\vec{v}$$

where $\vec{r} = \hat{r}r$ and \hat{r} is the unit vector perpendicular to the axis and point from the axis toward the particle.

If there is a force \vec{F} acting on the particle, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\vec{L}}{dt} &= \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} \times \vec{p} + \vec{r} \times \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} \\ &= \vec{v} \times \vec{p} + \vec{r} \times \vec{F} \\ &= \vec{v} \times m\vec{v} + \vec{r} \times \vec{F} \\ &= \vec{r} \times \vec{F} = \vec{\tau}\end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{\tau}$ is the torque that acting on the particle with respect to the axis

Angular momentum theorems (物理概念 中文補充說明-1)

當一個質點 m 繞著一個轉軸 \hat{z} 以角速度 $\vec{\omega} = \omega\hat{z}$ 旋轉。則此質點瞬間的切線速度為 $\vec{v} = \vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}$ ，其中 \vec{r} 為質點到旋轉軸上任一點的距離。因為旋轉軸會軸通過支點，所以若取支點為原點，則 \vec{r} 的大小可以是質點的柱面座標的位置向量 $r\hat{r}_{\text{柱}} + z\hat{z}$ 中的 r ，也可以是質點的球面座標的位置向量 $r\hat{r}_{\text{球}}$ 中的 r 。所以，不管把支點設在哪裡，只要位在轉軸上，外積的結果都相同。

若 \vec{p} 表示質點 m 的線動量 linear momentum
$$\vec{p} = m\vec{v} = m\vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}$$

但是當我們考慮質點 m 的角動量 時

$$\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p} = \vec{r} \times m\vec{v}$$

這裡的 \vec{r} 的選取就是一門藝術了！也就是說，選擇不同的原點（支點），算出來的角動量 \vec{L} 大小與方向都不相同！

因為角動量隨時間的變化為力矩 torque（參考上頁證明），

$$\frac{d\vec{L}}{dt} = \vec{r} \times \vec{F} = \vec{\tau}$$

因此，通常考慮一個質點的旋轉運動，原點通常選在讓質點進行旋轉運動，改變方向的施力源區。這樣， $\vec{r} \parallel \vec{F}$ ，因此 $\vec{r} \times \vec{F} = 0$ 。所以質點相對於施力源的角動量守恆 the angular momentum is conserved.

注意：如果旋轉軸的方向不變，則切線速度會一直改變方向，因此線動量也會一直改變方向，但是轉動角動量卻是一個守衡量。因此研究這種運動，考慮角動量 angular momentum，比考慮線動量 linear momentum 更為方便。

Angular Momentum & Rotational Inertia (or moment of inertia) (物理概念 中文補充說明-2)

角動量的問題，更多應用於一個由很多質點緊密結合的剛體的運動。如果我們可以把這樣一個剛體的運動，想成是 N 個質點以相同角速度繞著一個旋轉軸打轉的運動。則總線動量為

$$\vec{p} = \sum_{k=1}^N \vec{p}_k = \sum_{k=1}^N m_k \vec{v}_k = \vec{\omega} \times \sum_{k=1}^N m_k \vec{r}_k$$

總角動量為

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{L} &= \sum_{k=1}^N \vec{L}_k = \sum_{k=1}^N \vec{r}_k \times (m_k \vec{v}_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \vec{r}_k \times (m_k \vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}_k) \\ &= \vec{\omega} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^N m_k \left[(\vec{r}_k \cdot \vec{r}_k) \vec{\mathbb{1}} - \vec{r}_k \vec{r}_k \right] = \vec{I} \cdot \vec{\omega} \end{aligned}$$

其中 轉動慣量 rotational inertia \vec{I} is a symmetric second-rank tensor. (所以 $\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{I} = \vec{I} \cdot \vec{\omega}$) , $\vec{\mathbb{1}}$ is the unit second-rank tensor. ($\vec{\mathbb{1}} = \hat{x}\hat{x} + \hat{y}\hat{y} + \hat{z}\hat{z}$)

這裡的 \vec{r}_k 的選取仍舊就是一門學問！也就是說，選擇不同的原點（支點），算出來的角動量 \vec{L}_k 大小與方向都不相同！算出來的轉動慣量 \vec{I} 也會不同。為了要讓同一個剛體有相同的某一種轉動慣量，科學家定義以剛體重心為原點所算出來的轉動慣量為 \vec{I}_G 。這樣做的好處是，當剛體自由落下時，受力點是重心，所以力臂長度為零，故力矩為零，因此又適用角動量守恆（第11章介紹）。但是如果剛體不是自由落下，而是有支點呢？

Angular momentum theorems (物理概念 中文補充說明-3)

如果剛體不是自由落下，而是有支點時，但只要轉軸通過支點，也通過重心，則

$\vec{I} = \vec{I}_G$ (詳見下一頁的推導：若 $\vec{R} = R\hat{x}$ 則 $M(R^2\vec{1} - \vec{R}\vec{R})$ 不含 $\hat{x}\hat{x}$ 分量)。但是此時整個系統受力與受力矩的情形，就比較複雜了。例如一個陀螺在打轉。支點所受的力的力臂長度為零，因此力矩為零。但是如果轉軸稍微傾斜，則重力作用在重心上，距離支點，有一個長度不為零的力臂，就有一個力矩。所以角動量就會發生進動。

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ty9QSiVC2g0>
Gyroscopic Precession

非常簡潔有趣的影片，簡單明瞭，但黑板上寫的 torque 的定義，寫錯了（應該是 $\vec{\tau} = \vec{r} \times \vec{F}$ 誤寫成 $\vec{\tau} = \vec{F} \times \vec{r}$ ）非常可惜。雖然講者說的都沒錯！

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XPUuF_dECVI

MIT 的一堂力學課
8.01x - Lect 24 - Rolling Motion, Gyroscopes

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p9zhP9Bnx-k>

Gyroscope Tricks and Physics Stunts ~ Incredible Science 我們也會做的實驗

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3vPb3fwd9dQ>

Prática: rotação, torque e momento angular
傳統物理課的實驗(2020-10)

Angular momentum theorems

(物理概念 中文補充說明-4)

如果剛體不是自由落下，而是有支點時，且轉軸通過支點，但是不通過重心時，則要考慮平行軸定理，重新計算一個等效的轉動慣量。若重心距離轉軸的距離為 \vec{R} ，剛體上第 k 點相對重心的位置向量為 \vec{r}_k^* ，則

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{I} &= \sum_{k=1}^N m_k \left[(\vec{r}_k \cdot \vec{r}_k) \vec{1} - \vec{r}_k \vec{r}_k \right] = \sum_{k=1}^N m_k \left[(\vec{R} + \vec{r}_k^*) \cdot (\vec{R} + \vec{r}_k^*) \vec{1} - (\vec{R} + \vec{r}_k^*)(\vec{R} + \vec{r}_k^*) \right] \\ &= \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^N m_k \right) R^2 + 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^N m_k \vec{r}_k^* \right) \cdot \vec{R} \right] \vec{1} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^N m_k \right) \vec{R} \vec{R} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^N m_k \vec{r}_k^* \right) \vec{R} \\ &\quad - \vec{R} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N m_k \vec{r}_k^* \right) + \sum_{k=1}^N m_k \left[(\vec{r}_k^* \cdot \vec{r}_k^*) \vec{1} - \vec{r}_k^* \vec{r}_k^* \right] = M(R^2 \vec{1} - \vec{R} \vec{R}) + \vec{I}_G \end{aligned}$$

where $\left(\sum_{k=1}^N m_k \vec{r}_k^* \right) = 0$ and $\left(\sum_{k=1}^N m_k \right) = M$. Let $\vec{R} = R \hat{x}$. It yields

$$\vec{I} = M(R^2 \vec{1} - \vec{R} \vec{R}) + \vec{I}_G = MR^2(\hat{y}\hat{y} + \hat{z}\hat{z}) + \vec{I}_G$$

也就是說當角速度 $\vec{\omega}$ 有 \hat{y} 或 \hat{z} 分量時，且轉軸不通過重心，則

$$\vec{L} = \vec{I} \cdot \vec{\omega} = MR^2(\omega_y \hat{y} + \omega_z \hat{z}) + \vec{I}_G \cdot \vec{\omega}$$

The harmonic oscillator in two and three dimensions

Let us consider an object with mass m , which is connected to three springs in perpendicular directions. The spring constants of these springs in the x , y , and z -directions are k_1 , k_2 , and k_3 , respectively. The equation of motion of the object can be written as

$$m \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\hat{x}x + \hat{y}y + \hat{z}z) \\ = \hat{x}(-k_1x) + \hat{y}(-k_2y) + \hat{z}(-k_3z)$$

Then we have

$$\ddot{x} = -(k_1/m)x \\ \ddot{y} = -(k_2/m)y \\ \ddot{z} = -(k_3/m)z$$

The solution of $x(t)$, $y(t)$, $z(t)$ can be written as

$$x(t) = A_1 \sin(\omega_1 t + \theta_1) \\ y(t) = A_2 \sin(\omega_2 t + \theta_2) \\ z(t) = A_3 \sin(\omega_3 t + \theta_3)$$

where

$$\omega_1^2 = k_1/m \\ \omega_2^2 = k_2/m \\ \omega_3^2 = k_3/m$$

Exercise: (Lissajous curves)

Plot $x(t)$, $y(t)$ on the x - t , y - t , and x - y diagrams choose $A_1 = A_2 = 1$, $\omega_1:\omega_2 = 1:1$, $\theta_1 = 0$,

$$\theta_2 = (a)0, (b)\frac{\pi}{4}, (c)\frac{\pi}{2}, (d)\frac{3\pi}{4}, (e)\frac{3\pi}{2}, (f)\frac{5\pi}{4}$$

or other combinations of these parameters.

e. g., $\omega_1:\omega_2 = 1:1.01$

Projectiles 拋射體

Ballistic trajectory 彈道

Ignoring the air resistance

$$m \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = mg\hat{z}$$

Including the velocity dependent air resistance

$$m \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = mg\hat{z} - b\vec{v}$$

Given initial conditions of the projectile:

$$x = y = z = 0$$

$$v_x = v_0 \cos \theta$$

$$v_y = 0$$

$$v_z = v_0 \sin \theta$$

Find the solution of $x(t)$, $z(t)$, $v_x(t)$, $v_z(t)$, and the trajectory $z(x)$ under different combinations of parameters: bv/mg and initial shooting angle θ

Potential Energy

If the curl of a force \vec{F} is equal to zero, that is

$$\nabla \times \vec{F} = 0$$

Then, the force can be written in the following form

$$\vec{F} = -\nabla\Phi$$

Because $\nabla \times \nabla f = 0$. (有兩種以上的方法，可以證明此式，請「試證之」)

The work done by the force should be independent of the path, and is equal to

$$\int_{\vec{r}_1}^{\vec{r}_2} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_{\vec{r}_1}^{\vec{r}_2} -\nabla\Phi \cdot d\vec{r} = \Phi(\vec{r}_1) - \Phi(\vec{r}_2)$$

where Φ is the corresponding potential energy of the force.