Lecture 7. Frozen-in Flux in Magnetohydrodynamic Plasma

Ideal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) plasma model is applicable to study plasma phenomena in low-frequency and long-wavelength limit.

Ohm's Law in MHD limit (low-frequency, long-wavelength limit) can be written as $\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} = 0$.

Exercise 7.1.

For steady state $(\partial / \partial t = 0)$ plasma, we have $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Phi$. Show that electrostatic potential is constant along streamline and magnetic filed line *in steady state MHD plasma*. (i.e., Constant potential surface is determined by a set of streamlines and magnetic field lines *in steady state MHD plasma*.)

Using two different approaches, we are going to show in this lecture that if the plasma fluid satisfies $\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} = 0$ then the magnetic flux is frozen-in the plasma, i.e.,

$$\frac{d\Phi_B}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \iint_{S(t)} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot d\mathbf{a} = 0.$$
(7.1)

where d/dt is a physical notation (but not a mathematical notation) of time derivatives along the path of a fluid element.

The following three equations are the sufficient conditions of Eq.(7.1).

$$\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} = 0$$
 (MHD Ohm's Law, or MHD approximation) (7.2)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \qquad (\text{No magnetic monopole}) \tag{7.3}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$
 (Faraday's Law) (7.4)

7.1. Proof of Frozen-in Flux (Method 1)

By definition, variation of magnetic flux along the path lines of fluid elements is

$$\frac{d\Phi_B}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \iint_{S(t)} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\iint_{S(t+\Delta t)} \mathbf{B}(x, t+\Delta t) \cdot d\mathbf{a} - \iint_{S(t)} \mathbf{B}(x, t) \cdot d\mathbf{a}}{\Delta t}$$

From $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ yields

$$0 = \iiint \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} d^{3}x = \bigoplus \mathbf{B}(x, t + \Delta t) \cdot d\mathbf{a}$$

= $\iint_{S(t+\Delta t)} \mathbf{B}(x, t + \Delta t) \cdot d\mathbf{a} - \iint_{S(t)} \mathbf{B}(x, t + \Delta t) \cdot d\mathbf{a} + \oint \mathbf{B}(x, t + \Delta t) \cdot (d\mathbf{l} \times \mathbf{V} \Delta t)$
= $\iint_{S(t+\Delta t)} \mathbf{B}(x, t + \Delta t) \cdot d\mathbf{a} - \iint_{S(t)} \mathbf{B}(x, t + \Delta t) \cdot d\mathbf{a} + \oint d\mathbf{l} \cdot [\mathbf{V} \Delta t \times \mathbf{B}(x, t + \Delta t)]$
or

$$\iint_{S(t+\Delta t)} \mathbf{B}(x,t+\Delta t) \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \iint_{S(t)} \mathbf{B}(x,t+\Delta t) \cdot d\mathbf{a} - \oint d\mathbf{l} \cdot [\mathbf{V} \Delta t \times \mathbf{B}(x,t+\Delta t)]$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi_B}{dt} &= \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\int \int_{S(t+\Delta t)} \mathbf{B}(x,t+\Delta t) \cdot d\mathbf{a} - \int \int_{S(t)} \mathbf{B}(x,t) \cdot d\mathbf{a}}{\Delta t} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\left[\int \int_{S(t)} \mathbf{B}(x,t+\Delta t) \cdot d\mathbf{a} - \oint d\mathbf{l} \cdot [\mathbf{V}\Delta t \times \mathbf{B}(x,t+\Delta t)]\right] - \int \int_{S(t)} \mathbf{B}(x,t) \cdot d\mathbf{a}}{\Delta t} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\int \int_{S(t)} [\mathbf{B}(x,t+\Delta t) - \mathbf{B}(x,t)] \cdot d\mathbf{a}}{\Delta t} - \oint d\mathbf{l} \cdot (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) \\ &= \int \int_{S} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{a} - \oint d\mathbf{l} \cdot (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) \\ &= \int \int_{S} (-\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot d\mathbf{a} - \oint d\mathbf{l} \cdot (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) \\ &= \oint d\mathbf{l} \cdot (-\mathbf{E}) - \oint d\mathbf{l} \cdot (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) \\ &= \oint d\mathbf{l} \cdot (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) - \oint d\mathbf{l} \cdot (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that

$$\frac{d\Phi_B}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \int \int_{S(t)} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = 0$$

7.2. Proof of Frozen-in Flux (Method 2)

Since $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, we can let $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$. Thus Eq. (7.4) becomes $\mathbf{E}^{EM} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$

Since $\mathbf{E}^{ES} = -\nabla \Phi$, we have $\mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla \Phi$, or $\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{E} - \nabla \Phi$

Therefore, variation of magnetic flux along path lines of fluid elements becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi_B}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt} \iint_{S(t)} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \frac{d}{dt} \oint_{c(S)} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l} \\ &= \oint_c (\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \oint_c \mathbf{A} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} [\mathbf{r}(s + \Delta s, t) - \mathbf{r}(s, t)] \\ &= \oint_c (\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}) \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \oint_c (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \oint_c \mathbf{A} \cdot [\mathbf{V}(s + \Delta s, t) - \mathbf{V}(s, t)] \\ &= \oint_c (-\mathbf{E} - \nabla \Phi) \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \oint_c (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \oint_c \mathbf{A} \cdot \frac{[\mathbf{V}(s + \Delta s, t) - \mathbf{V}(s, t)]}{\Delta s} (\Delta s) \\ &= \oint_c (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \oint_c (-\nabla \Phi) \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \oint_c (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \oint_c \mathbf{A} \cdot [d\mathbf{l} \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{V})] \\ &= \oint_c [\mathbf{V} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})] \cdot d\mathbf{l} - \oint_c d\Phi + \oint_c (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \oint_c d\mathbf{l} \cdot [\nabla(\mathbf{A}^c \cdot \mathbf{V})] \\ &= \oint_c [d\mathbf{l} \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{V}^c)] + \oint_c d\mathbf{l} \cdot [\nabla(\mathbf{A}^c \cdot \mathbf{V})] \\ &= \oint_c [d\mathbf{l} \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{V}^c)] + \oint_c d\mathbf{l} \cdot [\nabla(\mathbf{A}^c \cdot \mathbf{V})] \\ &= \oint_c d(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{V}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that

$$\frac{d\Phi_{B}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \iint_{S(t)} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \frac{d}{dt} \oint_{c(S)} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 0$$

7.3. Conservation of Circulation vs. Frozen-in Flux in MHD Plasma

The idea of frozen-in flux of MHD plasma is adopt from conservation of circulation in an ideal fluid, where we define an ideal fluid is a non-viscous and isentropic fluid. (e.g., Landau and Lifshitz (Fluid Mechanics, 2nd ed. 1989)

Momentum equation of a non-viscous fluid is

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} + \mathbf{g}$$
(7.5a)

or

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{V} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{V}) + \nabla \frac{V^2}{2} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} - \nabla \Phi_g$$
(7.5b)

Vorticity equation of a non-viscous fluid can be obtained from curl of the momentum equation (7.5b)

$$\frac{\partial \nabla \times \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} - \nabla \times [\mathbf{V} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{V})] + \nabla \times [\nabla \frac{V^2}{2}] = -\nabla \times (\frac{\nabla p}{\rho}) - \nabla \times \nabla \Phi_g$$

Since $\nabla \times \nabla f = 0$, the above equation can be simplified as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{\Omega}}{\partial t} - \nabla \times [\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{\Omega}] = \frac{\nabla \rho \times \nabla p}{\rho^2}$$
(7.6)

where $\mathbf{\Omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{V}$.

For an isentropic fluid,

$$\nabla w = \frac{\nabla p}{\rho}$$

Thus,

$$\nabla \times \frac{\nabla p}{\rho} = \nabla \times \nabla w = 0$$

Thus, for an ideal fluid, the vorticity equation (7.6) becomes

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{\Omega}}{\partial t} - \nabla \times [\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{\Omega}] = 0 \tag{7.7}$$

where $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Omega} = 0$. From equation (7.7) one can show the conservation of circulation along the path line of an ideal fluid element

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = 0 = \frac{d}{dt} \oint \mathbf{V} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \frac{d}{dt} \iint (\nabla \times \mathbf{V}) \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \frac{d}{dt} \iint \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot d\mathbf{a}$$
(7.8)

Equation (7.7) is similar to the combination of MHD Ohm's law and Faraday's law, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} - \nabla \times [\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}] = 0 \tag{7.9}$$

where $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$.

Likewise, equation (7.8) is similar to the result we obtained in the last two sections

$$\frac{d\Phi_{B}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \int \int_{S(t)} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \frac{d}{dt} \oint_{c(S)} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 0$$
(7.10)